Motor evoked potential monitoring can evaluate ischemic tolerance to carotid
 artery occlusion during surgery

3

Yoshiaki Takamura, MD¹, Yasushi Motoyama, MD, PhD¹, Tsunenori Takatani,
PhD², Yasuhiro Takeshima, MD, PhD¹, Ryosuke Matsuda, MD, PhD¹, Kentaro
Tamura, MD, PhD¹, Shuichi Yamada, MD, PhD¹, Fumihiko Nishimura, MD,
PhD¹, Ichiro Nakagawa, MD, PhD¹, Young-Su Park, MD, PhD¹, Hiroyuki Nakase,
MD, PhD¹

10 ¹ Department of Neurosurgery, Nara Medical University, Kashihara, Japan

11 ² Department of Central Laboratory, Nara Medical University, Kashihara, Japan

12

Address reprint requests to: Yoshiaki Takamura, MD, Department of
Neurosurgery, Nara Medical University, 840 Shijo-cho, Kashihara, Nara 6348522, Japan

16 Phone Number : +81-744-22-3051

17 Fax Number : +81-744-29-0818

18 E-mail: <u>ytakam@hotmail.co.jp</u>

20 Abstract

Balloon test occlusion (BTO) is a useful examination for evaluating ischemic 21tolerance to internal carotid artery (ICA) occlusion. The aim of this study was to 2223investigate the relationships between intraoperative motor evoked potential (MEP) monitoring and the results of preoperative BTO. Between 2013 and 2017, 242532 patients undergoing surgery under general anesthesia with intraoperative MEP monitoring, in whom preoperative BTO was performed, were identified. A 26receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed to determine the 27appropriate cutoff value of MEP amplitude for BTO-positive. Furthermore, the 28of MEP monitoring for BTO-positive was 29 accuracy compared withelectroencephalogram (EEG) and somatosensory evoked potential (SEP) 30monitoring. Four of 32 (12.5%) patients were BTO-positive. The cutoff value of 31MEP amplitude for BTO positive was a >80% reduction from the baseline level, 32which showed sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 100%. Thus, the sensitivity 33 and specificity for BTO positive were significantly higher for MEP than for EEG 34(100% and 72.0%, p = 0.02) in 28 patients, but they were not significantly 35different compared with SEP (33.3% and 100%, p = 0.48) in 21 patients. MEP 36

3

ć	37	monitoring might be one of the alternatives for evaluating ischemic tolerance to
é	38	ICA occlusion during surgery. The cutoff value of MEP amplitude was a >80%
:	39	reduction.
2	40	
2	41	Keywords Balloon test occlusion, Carotid artery occlusion, Intraoperative

 $\mathbf{4}$

42 neurophysiological monitoring, Ischemic tolerance, Motor evoked potential

43

44 Declarations

Funding This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies
in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

47

48 Conflict of interest None of the authors has potential conflicts of interest to be49 disclosed.

50

Ethical approval Ethical approval was obtained for this study from the Nara Medical University Clinical Research Ethics Board (approval number: 1219). All study procedures were performed in accordance with the ethical standards of this institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments.

56

Author contributions Study design: YT, YM, HN. Recording data: TT.
Interpreting data: YT, YM, TT. Data analysis: YT, YM. Writing manuscript: YT,
YM. Reading and reviewing manuscript: YM, YT, RM, KT, SY, FN, IN, YP, HN.

 $\mathbf{5}$

61 1 Introduction

62 It is difficult to directly measure cerebral blood flow (CBF) during surgery under general anesthesia. Therefore, when intraoperative occlusion of the 63 internal carotid artery (ICA) is required, various intraoperative monitoring 64 65techniques have been used to detect cerebral ischemia, such as transcranial 66 Doppler, carotid near-infrared stump pressure, spectroscopy, electroencephalogram (EEG), somatosensory evoked potential (SEP), and motor 67 evoked potential (MEP) monitoring. However, these techniques monitor certain 68 aspects of cerebral hemodynamics or cerebral metabolism in a limited area, or a 69 certain cerebral function, which reflects reduced CBF only indirectly or partially. 70On the other hand, balloon test occlusion (BTO) is a useful examination for 71evaluation of ischemic tolerance to ICA occlusion [1]. Based on the results of BTO, 7273we can identify patients who require carotid artery shunting during carotid endarterectomy (CEA), or decide whether to use a low-flow or high-flow 74extracranial-intracranial bypass for ICA occlusion during large or giant ICA 7576 aneurysm surgery.

6

77 However, we sometimes encounter patients in whom ICA occlusion is required

78	transiently or permanently during surgery, even though preoperative BTO
79	cannot be performed. These patients include, for example, those who undergo
80	aneurysmal surgery and the ICA must be sacrificed due to unexpected neck
81	laceration. Awake surgery for CEA or aneurysms has been reported, but it is
82	impossible to perform in all patients for all age ranges and varieties of conditions
83	and severities. Considering such situations, it would be very useful to determine
84	the relationships between intraoperative monitoring and the results of
85	preoperative BTO. However, there have been no previous reports comparing
86	intraoperative monitoring with preoperative BTO.
87	The aim of the present study was to evaluate the reliability of intraoperative
88	neurophysiological monitoring (IONM), including MEP, EEG, and SEP
89	monitoring, compared with preoperative BTO and to investigate whether MEP

90 monitoring can evaluate ischemic tolerance to ICA occlusion during surgery.

91

92 2 Methods

93 This study was approved by the medical ethics committee of Nara Medical
94 University Hospital (approval number: 1282). The medical ethics committee

95 approved a waiver of consent for the collection of data as part of routine clinical96 care and quality control.

97 2.1 Study design and patient data

98 The medical records of 32 patients who underwent surgery with IONM 99 including MEP, EEG, or SEP monitoring, in whom preoperative BTO was 100 performed between 2013 and 2017 were retrospectively reviewed. The patients 101 included 26 men and 6 women, with a mean age of 69.9 years, ranging in age 102 from 41 to 85 years. The diagnosis was ICA stenosis in 28 patients, ICA 103 aneurysm in 3, and brain tumor in 1.

Intraoperative MEP monitoring was performed in all 32 patients in whom BTO
was performed preoperatively; during surgery, EEG and SEP monitoring were
performed in 28 and 21 patients, respectively.

First, the reduction rate of MEP amplitude was reviewed to compare the result of BTO with receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis to determine the cutoff value. Second, the accuracy of MEP monitoring for BTO-positive was assessed based on its sensitivity and specificity. Third, the accuracy of MEP monitoring for BTO-positive was compared with EEG and SEP monitoring among the groups. Additionally, the times that significant changes were
observed from ICA occlusion during surgery were compared between MEP and
EEG monitoring.

115 2.2 Balloon test occlusion

BTO was performed under local anesthesia and minimal intravenous conscious 116117sedation, ensuring that the patient could be examined neurologically during the test occlusion. In the case of carotid stenosis with plaque, the common carotid 118artery and external carotid artery were occluded using a double balloon catheter. 119120In the other cases, a single balloon catheter was used to occlude the cervical ICA. Complete occlusion was confirmed by an angiogram through the balloon catheter 121122demonstrating stagnation of the iodine contrast agent inside the proximal part of the ICA. The patient then underwent continuous neurological evaluation 123throughout the examination. The procedure was terminated if the patient 124developed any clinical signs of ischemia, including consciousness disturbance, 125126motor weakness, or speech disturbance. In such cases, the BTO was judged to be positive. The BTO was considered negative when the patient tolerated 20-min 127128occlusion.

During the procedure, systemic blood pressure was measured intermittently
and maintained at a maximum systolic pressure under 140 mmHg.

131 2.3 Anesthesia protocol

Anesthesia was induced with a bolus injection of propofol (1-2 mg/kg body 132133weight), fentanyl (2 µg/kg body weight), and vecuronium (0.1 mg/kg body weight) or rocuronium (0.5-0.6 mg/kg body weight), and maintained with 40% oxygen, 134propofol (2.3-3.0 g/mL of target-controlled infusion), fentanyl (total dose of 0.3-1350.5 mg), and remiferitanil (0.05-0.2 mg/kg/min). No muscle relaxant agents were 136used after induction and insertion of the endotracheal tube. After the trachea 137was intubated, the lungs were mechanically ventilated to maintain the partial 138pressure of arterial carbon dioxide between 35 and 40 mmHg. Rectal 139temperature was maintained between 35.5 and 37.0°C. The other physiological 140141monitoring parameters included electrocardiography, intra-arterial continuous 142blood pressure, and oxygen saturation measurement by pulse oximetry.

143 2.4 Intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring

For eliciting MEPs, corkscrew electrodes were placed over the primary motor
cortex bilaterally (locations C3 and C4 in the International 10-20 system). To

146	record compound muscle action potentials from the upper and lower extremities,
147	surface electrodes were placed on the abductor pollicis brevis and abductor
148	hallucis muscles. Five-train stimulation with an inter-stimulus interval of 2
149	msec was used. Intraoperatively, stimulation intensity was set at 20% more than
150	the threshold level to ensure that MEPs of at least 50 μV in amplitude could be
151	stably obtained. Threshold levels were rechecked every 30 minutes, and baseline
152	levels for MEPs were renewed.
153	EEG was recorded using needle electrodes placed on the scalp. An anterior-to-
154	posterior montage using the following eight channels was used: Fp1-F7, F7-T3,
155	Fp1-F3, F3-C3, Fp2-F4, F4-C4, Fp2-F8, and F8-T4 according to the international
156	10-20 system (EEG1224, Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan). The 60-Hz notch filter
157	was used, and band pass filtering was set from 0.53-60 Hz. Sensitivity varied
158	between 5 and 10 microvolts/mm, and a time base of 30 mm/sec was used.
159	For the generation of SEPs, the median and tibial nerves of both sides were
160	independently stimulated at the wrist and ankle using surface electrode pairs
161	(Nihon Kohden). Scalp electrodes were placed at CPi/CPc/Fz for the upper
162	extremity and CPz/iCPi/iCPc/Fz for the lower extremity to record the cortical

163	SEPs (N20/P25) for the upper extremity and (P38/N46) for the lower extremity.
164	CPi and CPc were CP3 or CP4 ipsilateral and contralateral to the stimulated
165	nerve, and iCPi and iCPc were CP1 or CP2 intermediate centro-parietal sites
166	ipsilateral and contralateral. Ipsilateral and contralateral sites were switched.
167	Constant current stimulation at intensities sufficient to evoke a consistent and
168	supra-maximal peripheral nerve response was used for SEP generation. The
169	stimulation frequency was set at 4.37 Hz, with a pulse duration of 0.2-0.5 msec.
170	Band pass filters were set at 10-2000 Hz for cortical recording. MEPs and SEPs
171	were elicited and recorded by the same device (MEE1232, Nihon Kohden).
171 172	were elicited and recorded by the same device (MEE1232, Nihon Kohden). Significant MEP changes were defined as a reproducible greater than 50%
172	Significant MEP changes were defined as a reproducible greater than 50%
172 173	Significant MEP changes were defined as a reproducible greater than 50% reduction in amplitude from the baseline. Significant EEG changes were defined
172 173 174	Significant MEP changes were defined as a reproducible greater than 50% reduction in amplitude from the baseline. Significant EEG changes were defined as a decrease in the amplitude of fast frequency activity or an increase in theta
172 173 174 175	Significant MEP changes were defined as a reproducible greater than 50% reduction in amplitude from the baseline. Significant EEG changes were defined as a decrease in the amplitude of fast frequency activity or an increase in theta or delta activity. Significant SEP changes were defined as a persistent and
172 173 174 175 176	Significant MEP changes were defined as a reproducible greater than 50% reduction in amplitude from the baseline. Significant EEG changes were defined as a decrease in the amplitude of fast frequency activity or an increase in theta or delta activity. Significant SEP changes were defined as a persistent and consistent prolongation of latency of 10% or of a 50% decrease in amplitude.

179 While IONM was performed, the systemic blood pressure remained constant

180 and was similar to the average pressure during BTO.

181 2.5 Statistical analysis

For the assessment of the accuracy of MEP monitoring, receiver operating 182183 characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed. Using an ROC curve, the cutoff value for MEP amplitude was determined. Contingency tables were constructed 184for each modality, and sensitivity and specificity were calculated. The sensitivity 185and specificity of the two different modalities were compared using the McNemar 186 187test. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare data between the two groups. The relationships between two variables were investigated using 188Pearson's correlation analysis. P < 0.05 was considered significant. For 189 190 statistical analysis, EZR Ver.1.41 (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan) was used. 191

192

193 3 Results

Table 1 shows the clinical summary of the 32 patients included in this study.
Four (12.5%) of 32 patients were BTO-positive. Of them, 3 developed ischemic
symptoms including consciousness disturbance (cases 18, 25), speech

197 disturbance (cases 17, 18), and motor weakness (case 25) immediately after carotid artery occlusion, and another patient (case 32) developed speech 198 199 disturbance after 6 minutes. The MEP amplitude decreased significantly to <50% of the control in 6 (18.8%) of 32 patients. MEP changes occurred at a mean 200201 time of 13.5 minutes (range 3-27 minutes). In all 4 BTO-positive cases, MEP amplitude disappeared completely at a mean time of 11.5 minutes. There was no 202203positive correlation between the time to onset of neurological deficits in the BTOpositive patients and the time to MEP changes (r = -0.511, p = 0.489). These 204MEP changes were followed by complete recovery to the control level after 205declamping of the ICA or insertion of the internal shunt. Significant EEG 206changes were observed in 10 (35.7%) of 28 patients, and significant SEP changes 207208were seen in 1 (4.8%) of 21 patients. EEG changes occurred with a mean time of 4.5 minutes (range 0.24 minutes), significantly earlier than MEP changes (p = 209 0.02). 210

Two BTO-negative patients who underwent CEA developed transient monoparesis of the hand or arm after surgery. Both patients were diagnosed with a focal cerebral infarct due to embolism, because diffusion-weighted magnetic

214	resonance imaging showed a high-intensity spot lesion in the motor cortex. One				
215	of them showed significant MEP changes during ICA cross-clamping without				
216	EEG/SEP changes. The other one showed no significant MEP changes				
217	intraoperatively, which was recognized as a false-negative finding. In both				
218	patients, the symptoms improved rapidly.				
219	The sensitivity and specificity of MEP monitoring for BTO-positive were 100%				
220	and 92.9%, respectively. The cutoff value of MEP amplitude using the ROC curve $% \mathcal{A}$				
221	was a >80% reduction from the baseline level, which showed sensitivity of 100%				
222	and specificity of 100% (Table 2). Thus, the sensitivity and specificity for BTO-				
223	positive were higher for MEP than for EEG monitoring (100% and 72.0%, \mathbf{p} =				
224	0.02) in 28 patients (Table 3). However, they were not significantly different				
225	compared with SEP (33.3% and 100%, $p = 0.48$) in 21 patients (Table 4).				

227 4 Discussion

The aim of this investigation was to determine whether MEP monitoring is capable of identifying patients without ischemic tolerance during surgery. MEP monitoring has become common in neurosurgery [2, 3]. MEP monitoring has

231	been reported to be more sensitive than SEP monitoring for detecting cerebral
232	ischemia [4-7] and has been used to help prevent ischemic complications during
233	surgery [8]. There is increasing evidence that MEP monitoring is quite valuable
234	for identifying critical cerebral ischemia during CEA [9, 10]. In the present study,
235	the relationship between intraoperative MEP monitoring and preoperative BTO,
236	which has been established as a useful examination to evaluate tolerance to ICA
237	occlusion ¹ , was investigated. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study
238	comparing preoperative BTO and IONM.

239 There is little consensus regarding the evaluation of the amplitude change and the threshold in MEP monitoring [11]. Generally, as the alarm point, more than 240a 50% reduction in amplitude is adopted for MEP monitoring during brain 241surgery targeting supra- and infratentorial lesions. Therefore, in the present 242study, significant MEP changes were defined as >50% reductions in amplitude. 243According to this criterion, the sensitivity and specificity of MEP monitoring for 244BTO-positive were 100% and 92.9%, respectively. Using ROC analysis, the cutoff 245value for MEP amplitude was a >80% reduction. These results are consistent 246247with previous reports that an 80% reduction in amplitude was the threshold for

248irreversible motor palsy on MEP monitoring [8, 12]. If the threshold were defined as a >80% amplitude reduction, in the present study, the sensitivity and 249250specificity of MEP monitoring for BTO-positive were 100% and 100%, respectively. The significant changes in MEP amplitudes were consistent with 251the results of BTO. Accordingly, a >80% reduction in MEP amplitude is 252considered to indicate lack of tolerance to ICA occlusion. The present data clearly 253support the hypothesis that MEP monitoring might be one of the alternatives for 254evaluating ischemic tolerance during surgery. 255

17

256However, some limitations must be considered in the application of MEP monitoring. MEP monitoring is not always possible, especially in patients with 257moderate or severe motor deficits. There is a time delay until changes of MEP 258259amplitude appear. Furthermore, MEP changes occur with not only hemodynamic ischemia due to ICA occlusion, but also focal ischemia of the pyramidal tract due 260261to embolism. In the present study, one BTO-negative patient had significant 262MEP change due to a focal cerebral infarct related to embolism. Therefore, the other monitoring modalities are necessary to complement MEP monitoring. 263

264 EEG and SEP monitoring have been used frequently to detect cerebral ischemia

265	during surgery [13-16]. The previous studies reported the high sensitivity and
266	specificity of SEP monitoring for detecting cerebral ischemia [17, 18],
267	comparable to those of EEG monitoring. However, false-negative SEP changes
268	associated with postoperative motor deficits have also been reported [6, 7, 18-20].
269	In 3 patients, there were significant changes in MEPs that depended on ICA
270	occlusion without significant depression of SEP responses in the present study.
271	The present results indicated that SEP changes have a strong specificity of 100%,
272	but a weak sensitivity of 33.3% for BTO-positive. Since SEP monitoring has more
273	false-negatives and less accuracy than MEP, we believe it is less reliable for
274	detection of ischemic tolerance. On the other hand, EEG monitoring had a strong
275	sensitivity of 100% for BTO-positive. EEGs are difficult to interpret and easily
276	affected by anesthesia, although EEG monitoring has the advantage of being
277	continuous. Furthermore, EEG changes were noted to occur earlier than MEP
278	changes. EEG is a rapid indicator of cerebral ischemia and is probably a useful
279	alarm.

280 There have been no reports of the relationship between MEP changes and CBF.281 On the other hand, the relationship between EEG changes and CBF has been

282	demonstrated previously [21-25]. Changes in EEG such as loss of fast beta
283	frequencies occurred when CBF dropped below 25 to 35 mL/100 g/min. A further
284	reduction was shown to provoke slowing of the background activity to theta
285	rhythms, and a drop in CBF to below 18 mL/100 g/min has been associated with
286	slowing to delta activity. Suppression of all frequencies was associated with
287	neuronal cell death and a CBF below 10 to 15 mL/100 g/min. Regarding SEP $$
288	monitoring, animal models indicate that a drop in CBF below 16 to 20 mL/100
289	g/min causes a reversible decrease in SEP amplitude. SEPs disappear for CBF
2 9 0	values less than 12 mL/100 g/min [26, 27]. In humans, persistent reduction of
291	SEP amplitude by 50% is observed when CBF decreases below 14 mL/100 g/min $$
292	[28]. Moreover, the previous studies reported that the CBF threshold of ischemic
293	symptoms is 15 to 20 mL/100 g/min [29, 30]. Based on the previous reports and
294	the present study, we could suggest the following as the possible reason for the
295	time lag in the appearance of changes in each modality. After ICA occlusion, EEG
296	changes occur when CBF falls below 25 to 35 mL/100 g/min. As CBF decreases
297	below 15 to 20 mL/100 g/min, ischemic symptoms occur, and it is possible that
298	MEP changes may also appear at around these CBF values. Finally, SEP

299 changes occur with a further decrease of CBF below 14 mL/100 g/min.

300 In summary, MEP monitoring was a reliable indicator for evaluating ischemic 301tolerance to ICA occlusion during surgery. In patients in whom it is difficult to perform preoperative BTO, MEP monitoring may be used instead of BTO. Since 302MEP monitoring has some limitations, as described previously, combining it with 303 304 EEG and SEP monitoring provides complementary information. When ICA occlusion is required, we should pay attention to the EEG first. EEG change is a 305prompt warning sign for MEP change. Then, if MEP amplitude decreases to 306 307 >80% of the control, we consider that the patient cannot tolerate ICA occlusion. SEP change requires rapid correction (i.e. temporary clip removal, internal 308 shunt placement, or an increase of cerebral perfusion). 309

The present study had limitations in its retrospective nature, the small
number of subjects, and the single-center design. A large patient population

and further studies are needed to obtain more definitive values. Furthermore,

313 there are other limitations to this study. One cannot be absolutely certain that

- 314 the results of intraoperative monitoring in patients undergoing surgery under
- 315 general anesthesia are comparable to the results of BTO under local

316	anesthesia. There are reports that patients under general anesthesia may				
317	tolerate cerebral ischemia more than patients who received local anesthesia				
318	because of the possible protective effect of the anesthetic [31]. Finally, one of				
319	the essential benefits of preoperative BTO is that it can evaluate ischemic				
320	tolerance before the surgery, whereas MEPs can only be checked during the				
321	surgery. After all, even if there could be a close relationship between these 2				
322	tests, intraoperative MEP monitoring cannot be a real alternative or replace				
323	preoperative BTO. To validate the accuracy of intraoperative MEP monitoring				
324	for evaluation of ischemic tolerance to ICA occlusion, it will be necessary to				
325	search for concordance between the final intraoperative MEP findings and the				
326	sequelae after ICA sacrifice.				

328 5 Conclusions

MEP monitoring might be one of the alternatives for evaluating ischemic tolerance to carotid artery occlusion during surgery. A >80% reduction in MEP amplitude should be considered to indicate lack of tolerance of ICA occlusion. Combining MEP monitoring with EEG and SEP monitoring may be useful to

333 overcome the disadvantages of each modality.

334

335	Acknowledgements
-----	------------------

The authors would like to express their gratitude to T. Inoue, MPH, Biostatistician at Nara Medical University for statistical analysis and the staff of the intraoperative neuromonitoring team from the central laboratory in Nara Medical University for being in charge of measuring and recording neurophysiological monitoring in the operating room.

342	Re	ferences			
343	1.	Mathis JM, Barr JD, Jungreis CA, Yonas H, Sekhar LN, Vincent D,			
344		Pentheny SL, Horton JA. Temporary balloon test occlusion of the internal			
345		carotid artery: experience in 500 cases. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.			
346		1995;16(4):749-54.			
347	2.	Legatt AD. Current Practice of Motor Evoked Potential Monitoring: Results			
348		of a Survey. J Clin Neurophysiol. 2002;19:454-60.			
349		https://doi.org/10.1097/00004691-200210000-00008.			
350	3.	Legatt AD, Emerson RG. Motor Evoked Potential MonitoringIt's About			
351		Time. J Clin Neurophysiol 2002;19:383-6. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004691-			
352		200210000-00001.			
353	4.	Horiuchi K, Suzuki K, Sasaki T, Matsumoto M, Sakuma J, Konno Y,			
354		Oinuma M, Itakura T, Kodama N. Intraoperative monitoring of blood flow			
355		insufficiency during surgery of middle cerebral artery aneurysms. J			
356		Neurosurg. 2005;103(2):275-83. https://doi.org/10.3171/jns.2005.103.2.0275.			
357	5.	Lesser RP, Raudzens P, Lüders H, Nuwer MR, Goldie WD, Morris HH 3rd,			
358		Dinner DS, Klem G, Hahn JF, Shetter AG, et al. Postoperative neurological			

- deficits may occur despite unchanged intraoperative somatosensory evoked 359 potential. Ann Neurol. 1986;19(1):22-5. 360 361https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.410190105. 3626. Neuloh G, Schramm J. Monitoring of motor evoked potential compared 363 with somatosensory evoked potentials and microvascular Doppler 364 ultrasonography in cerebral aneurysm surgery. J Neurosurg. 2004;100(3):389-99. https://doi.org/10.3171/jns.2004.100.3.0389. 365 366 Weinzierl MR, Reinacher P, Glisbach JM, Rohde V. Combined motor and 7. 367 somatosensory evoked potentials for intraoperative monitoring: intra- and 368 postoperative data in a series of 69 operations. Neurosurg Rev. 2007;30(2):109-16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10143-006-0061-5. 369 370 Kombos T, Suess O, Ciklatekerlio O, Brock M. Monitoring of intraoperative 8. motor evoked potentials to increase the safety of surgery in and around the 371motor cortex. J Neurosurg. 2001;95(4):608-14. 372https://doi.org/10.3171/jns.2001.95.4.0608. 373 Malcharek MJ, Ulkatan S, Marinò V, Geyer M, Lladó-Carbó E, Perez-3749. Fajardo G, Arranz-Arranz B, Climent J, Aloj F, Franco E, Chiacchiari L, 375
- 24

377	carotid endarterectomy by transcranial motor evoked potential: a
378	multicenter study of 600 patients. Clin Neurophysiol. 2013;124(5):1025-30.
379	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2012.10.014.
380	10. Uchino H, Nakamura T, Kuroda S, Houkin K, Murata J, Saito H.
381	Intraoperative dual monitoring during carotid endarterectomy using motor
382	evoked potentials and near-infrated spectroscopy. World Neurosurg.
383	2012;78(6):651-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2011.10.039.
384	11. Kombos T, Kopetsch O, Suess O, Brock M. Does preoperative paresis
385	influence intraoperative monitoring of the motor cortex? J Clin
386	Neurophysiol. 2003;20(2):129-34. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004691-
387	200304000-00007.
388	12. Tanaka S, Kobayashi I, Sagiuchi T, et al. Compensation of intraoperative
389	transcranial motor-evoked potential monitoring by compound muscle action
3 9 0	potential after peripheral nerve stimulation. J Clin Neurophysiol.
391	2005;22:271-74. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004691-200304000-00007.

Kulpok A, Sablotzki A, Hennig G, Deletis V. Intraoperative monitoring of

392	13. Amantini A	, Bartelli M, de	Scisciolo G,	Lombardi M,	Macucci M, Rossi R,

- 393 Pratesi C, Pinto F. Monitoring of somatosensory evoked potentials during
- carotid endarterectomy. J Neurol. 1992;239(5):241-7.
- 395 https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00810344.
- 396 14. Fava E, Bortolani E, Ducati A, Schieppati M. Role of SEP in identifying
- 397 patients requiring temporary shunt during carotid endarterectomy.
- Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol. 1992;84(5):426-32.
- 399 https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-5597(92)90029-b.
- 400 15. Schneider JR, Novak KE. Carotid endarterectomy with routine
- 401 electroencephalography and selective shunting. Semin Vasc Surg.
- 402 2004;17(3):230-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0895-7967(04)00046-8.
- 403 16. Sundt TM Jr, Ebersold MJ, Sharbrough FW, Piepgras DG, Marsh WR,
- 404 Messick JM Jr. The risk-benefit ratio of intraoperative shunting during
- 405 carotid endarterectomy. Ann Surg. 1986;203(2):196-204.
- 406 https://doi.org/10.1097/00000658-198602000-00014.
- 407 17. Markand ON, Dilley RS, Moorthy SS, Warren C Jr. Monitoring of
- 408 somatosensory evoked responses during carotid endarterectomy. Arch

Neurol. 1984;41(4):375-8.

410	https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur.1984.04050160037012.
411	18. Sbarigia E, Schioppa A, Misuraca M, Panico MA, Battocchio C, Maraglino
412	C, Speziale F, Fiorani P. Somatosensory evoked potentials versus
413	locoregional anaesthesia in the monitoring of cerebral function during
414	carotid artery surgery: Preliminary results of a prospective study. Eur J
415	Vasc Endovasc. 2001;21(5):413-6. https://doi.org/10.1053/ejvs.2001.1342.
416	19. Friedman WA, Chadwick GM, Verhoeven FJ, Mahla M, Day AL.
417	Monitoring of somatosensory evoked potentials during surgery for middle
418	cerebral artery aneurysms. Neurosurgery. 1991;29(1):83-8.
419	https://doi.org/10.1097/00006123-199107000-00014.
420	20. Holland NR. Subcortical strokes from intracranial aneursym surgery:
421	implications for intraoperative neuromonitoring. J Clin Neurophysiol.
422	1998;15(5):439-46. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004691-199809000-00008.
423	21. Diedler J, Sykora M, Bast T, Poli S, Veltkamp R, Mellado P, Steiner T,
424	Rupp A. Quantitative EEG correlates of low cerebral perfusion in severe

425	stroke. Neurocrit Care. 2009;11(2):210-6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12028-
426	009-9236-6.
427	22. Nagata K, Tagawa K, Hiroi S, Shishido F, Uemura K.
428	Electroencephalographic correlates of blood flow and oxygen metabolism
429	provided by positron emission tomography in patients with cerebral
430	infarction. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol. 1989;72(1):16-30.
431	https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694(89)90027-8.
432	23. Schneider AL, Jordan KG. Regional attenuation without delta (RAWOD): a
433	distinctive EEG pattern that can aid in the diagnosis and management of
434	severe acute ischemic stroke. Am J Electroneurodiagnostic Technol.
435	2005;45(2):102-17.
436	24. Sharbrough FW, Messick JM Jr, Sundt TM Jr. Correlation of continuous
437	electroencephalograms with cerebral blood flow measurements during
438	carotid endarterectomy. Stroke. 1973;4(4):674-83.
439	https://doi.org/10.1161/01.str.4.4.674.
440	25. Sundt TM Jr, Sharbrough FW, Anderson RE, Michenfelder JD. Cerebral

441 blood flow measurements and electroencephalograms during carotid

- 442 endarterectomy. 1974. J Neurosurg. 2007;107(4):887-97.
- 443 https://doi.org/10.3171/JNS-07/10/0887.
- 444 26. Astrup J, Symon L, Branston NM, Lassen NA. Cortical evoked potential
- and extracellular K+ and H+ at critical levels of brain ischemia. Stroke.
- 446 1977;8(1):51-7. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.str.8.1.51.
- 447 27. Branston NM, Symon L, Crockard HA, Pasztor E. Relationship between the
- 448 cortical evoked potential and local cortical blood flow following acute middle
- 449 cerebral artery occlusion in the baboon. Exp Neurol. 1974;45(2):195-208.
- 450 https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4886(74)90112-5.
- 451 28. Symon L. The relationship between CBF, evoked potentials and the clinical
- 452 features in cerebral ischaemia. Acta Neurol Scand Suppl. 1980;78:175-90.
- 453 29. Boysen G, Engel HC, Pistolese GR, Fiorani P, Lassen NA. On the Critical
- 454 Lower Levels of Cerebral Blood Flow in Man with Particular Reference to
- 455 Carotid Surgery. Circulation. 1974;49(6):1023-5.
- 456 https://doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.49.6.1023.
- 457 30. Yonas H, Sehkar L, Johnson DW, Gur D. Determination of irreversible
- 458 ischemia by Xenon-enhanced computed tomography monitoring of cerebral

459	blood flow in patients with symptomatic vasospasm. Neurosurgery.
460	1989;24(3):368-72. https://doi.org/10.1227/00006123-198903000-00010.
461	31. BA Wells, AS Keats, DA Cooley. Increased tolerance to cerebral ischemia
462	produced by general anesthesia during temporary carotid occlusion.
463	Surgery. 1963;54:216-23. https://doi.org/10.5555/uri:pii:003960606390206X.

'l'abl	e 1. Su	mmar	Table 1. Summary of Clinical Data of the 32 Patients Included in this Study	of the 32 Pati	ents Included in	this Study				
Case	Age	Sex	Diagnosis	Method	BTO	Intraoperative	MEP change	EEG change	SEP change	Postoperative
No.	(yrs)				(Time to onset	monitoring	(Time from clamp	(Time from clamp	(Time from clamp	neurological
					of deficits)		to change)	to change)	to change)	deficits
1	61	Μ	ICA stenosis	CEA	Negative	MEP, EEG	-10%		NA	
8	78	Μ	ICA stenosis	CEA	Negative	MEP, EEG	-25%		NA	·
ω	75	Μ	ICA stenosis	CEA	Negative	MEP, EEG	-15%		NA	·
4	75	Μ	ICA stenosis	CEA	Negative	MEP, EEG	-10%	ı	NA	ı
57	76	Μ	ICA stenosis	CEA	Negative	MEP, EEG, SEP	0%	ı	ı	,
6	67	Μ	ICA stenosis	CEA	Negative	MEP, EEG	0%	+	NA	ŗ
								(immediately)		
7	76	F	ICA stenosis	CEA	Negative	MEP, EEG, SEP	-20%	ı	·	I
00	73	M	ICA stenosis	CEA	Negative	MEP, EEG, SEP	-15%	·	•	I
9	76	M	ICA stenosis	CEA	Negative	MEP, EEG, SEP	-30%			I

	(8mins)	(2mins)	(12mins)		(immediately)					
·	Disappeared	+	-100%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Positive	CEA	ICA stenosis	Μ	77	18
	- - -	(immediately)	(27mins)		(immediately)					
·	·	+	-100%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Positive	CEA	ICA stenosis	Μ	69	17
		(3mins).	(15mins)							
·	·	+	-80%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Negative	CEA	ICA stenosis	Μ	65	16
r		ı	-40%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Negative	CEA	ICA stenosis	ĿŢ	69	15
		·	-20%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Negative	CEA	ICA stenosis	Μ	74	14
		(15mins)								
·	NA	+	-20%	MEP, EEG	Negative	CEA	ICA stenosis	Μ	66	13
	·		0%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Negative	CEA	ICA stenosis	Μ	67	12
(transient)			(20mins)							
+	·	ų	-80%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Negative	CEA	ICA stenosis	Μ	63	11
		ı	0%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Negative	CEA	ICA stenosis	Μ	74	10
										2

73 \mathbf{M} $\mathbf{ICA stennosis}$ \mathbf{CEA} $\mathbf{Negative}$ \mathbf{MEP} , \mathbf{EEG} , \mathbf{SEP} 0.06 \cdot \cdot \cdot 55 \mathbf{M} $\mathbf{ICA stennosis}$ \mathbf{CEA} $\mathbf{Negative}$ \mathbf{MEP} , \mathbf{EEG} , \mathbf{SEP} 0.06 $+$ \cdot $+$ 72 \mathbf{M} $\mathbf{ICA stennosis}$ \mathbf{CEA} $\mathbf{Negative}$ \mathbf{MEP} , \mathbf{EEG} , \mathbf{SEP} $\mathbf{20\%}$ $+$ $\mathbf{Nedistely$ \cdot 72 \mathbf{M} $\mathbf{ICA stennosis}$ \mathbf{CEA} $\mathbf{Negative}$ \mathbf{MEP} , \mathbf{EEG} $\mathbf{10\%}$ $+$ \mathbf{NA} $ 72$ \mathbf{M} $\mathbf{ICA stennosis}$ \mathbf{CEA} $\mathbf{Negative}$ \mathbf{MEP} , \mathbf{EEG} $\mathbf{10\%}$ $+$ \mathbf{NA} $ 72$ \mathbf{M} $\mathbf{ICA stennosis}$ \mathbf{CEA} $\mathbf{Negative}$ \mathbf{MEP} , \mathbf{EEG} $\mathbf{10\%}$ $ \mathbf{NA}$ $ 72$ \mathbf{M} $\mathbf{ICA stennosis}$ \mathbf{CEA} $\mathbf{Negative}$ \mathbf{MEP} , \mathbf{EEG} , \mathbf{SEP} $ \mathbf{NA}$ $ 72$ \mathbf{M} $\mathbf{ICA stennosis}$ \mathbf{CEA} $\mathbf{Negative}$ \mathbf{MEP} , \mathbf{EEG} , \mathbf{SEP} $ \mathbf{NA}$ $ 72$ \mathbf{M} $\mathbf{ICA stennosis}$ \mathbf{CEA} $\mathbf{Negative}$ \mathbf{MEP} , \mathbf{EEG} , \mathbf{SEP} $ \mathbf{NA}$ $ 72$ \mathbf{M} $\mathbf{ICA stennosis}$ \mathbf{CEA} $\mathbf{Negative}$ \mathbf{MEP} , \mathbf{EEG} , \mathbf{SEP} $ \mathbf{NA}$ $ 73$ \mathbf{N} $ICA stenn$			+	0%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Negative	CEA	M ICA stenosis	73	28
			·	0%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Negative	CEA		62	27
MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP-10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP0%+MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP-20%+MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP-20%+MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG-20%+MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG-10%+NAMICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP-40%-NAMICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP-40%NAMICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP-40%NAMICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP-40%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP-40%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP-40%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP <t< td=""><td></td><td>г</td><td>·</td><td>0%</td><td>MEP, EEG, SEP</td><td>Negative</td><td>CEA</td><td></td><td>65</td><td>26</td></t<>		г	·	0%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Negative	CEA		65	26
MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.0%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.20%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.20%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG.10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG.10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.40%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.100%			(1min)	(4mins)		(immediately)				
MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP0%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.20%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.20%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG.10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG.10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG.10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG.10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG.45%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMICA stenosisCEANegativeMICA stenosisCEANegative	·		+	-100%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Positive	CEA		75	25
MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.10%.1.1MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.0%.1.1MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.20%.1.1MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.20%.1.1MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG.10%.1.1MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG.10%.1.1MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG.10%.1.1MICA stenosisCEANegative.1.1.1MICA stenosisCEANegative.1.1.1MICA stenosisCEANegative.1.1.1MICA stenosisCEANegative.1.1.1MICA stenosisCEANegative.1.1.1MICA stenosisCEANegative.1.1.1MICA stenosisCEANegative.1.1.1MICA stenosisCEANegative.1.1.1MICA stenosisCEANegative.1.1.1MICA stenosisCEANEP, EEG.10%.1.1MICA stenosis.1.1.1.1.1MICA stenosis.1.1 <td></td> <td>I</td> <td>·</td> <td>-40%</td> <td>MEP, EEG, SEP</td> <td>Negative</td> <td>CEA</td> <td></td> <td>80</td> <td>24</td>		I	·	-40%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Negative	CEA		80	24
MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.10%.10%.10%.10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP0%+.10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.20%+.10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG.10%+NAMICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG.10%+NA		NA	·	-45%	MEP, EEG	Negative	CEA		72	23
MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP0%+.MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.20%+.MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.20%+.MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP.20%+.MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG.10%+NA			(immediately)							
MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP-10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP0%+-MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP-20%+-MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP-20%+-	·	NA	+	-10%	MEP, EEG	Negative	CEA		69	22
MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP-10%-MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP0%+-MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP-20%+-			(immediately)							
MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP-10%MICA stenosisCEANegativeMEP, EEG, SEP0%++-(immediately)	·	·	+	-20%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Negative	CEA		79	21
M ICA stenosis CEA Negative MEP, EEG, SEP -10% - - M ICA stenosis CEA Negative MEP, EEG, SEP 0% + -	(transient)	-	(immediately)							
M ICA stenosis CEA Negative MEP, EEG, SEP -10% -	+		+	0%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Negative	CEA		85	20
		·	·	-10%	MEP, EEG, SEP	Negative	CEA		75	19

								(24mins)	
	57	F	F ICA aneurysm	Clipping	Negative	MEP	-20%	NA	NA -
	41	Μ	M ICA aneurysm	Clipping	Negative	MEP, SEP	-45%	NA	
	69	F	ICA aneurysm	Clipping	Negative	MEP	0%	NA	NA .
	53	F	Pituitary tumor	Removal	Positive	MEP	-100%	NA	NA -
					(6mins)		(3mins)		
RTO = 1 5	53 57 57 57 57 57 57 57		ICA aneurysm ICA aneurysm ICA aneurysm Pituitary tumor	Clipping Clipping Clipping Removal	Negative Negative Positive (6mins)	MEP, SEP MEP MEP MEP	-20% -45% -100% (3mins)	29 57 F ICA aneurysm $Clipping$ $Negative$ MEP $-20%$ NA NA NA $.$ 30 41 M ICA aneurysm $Clipping$ $Negative$ MEP , SEP $-45%$ NA NA $.$ $.$ 31 69 F ICA aneurysm $Clipping$ $Negative$ MEP $0%$ NA NA $.$ $.$ 32 53 F Pituitary tumorRemovalPositive MEP $.100%$ NA NA $.$ 32 53 F Pituitary tumorRemoval $Positive$ MEP $.100%$ $.NA$ $.NA$ $.$ 37 F Pituitary tumorRemoval $Positive$ $.MEP$ $.100%$ $.NA$ $.NA$ $.$	NA - NA NA

BTO = balloon test occlusion, CEA = carotid endarterectomy, EEG = electroencephalogram, TCA = internal carotid artery, MEP = motor evoked potential; NA = CAROTINA = CAROTINA CAROTIN

not available; SEP = somatosensory evoked potential

MEP	Sensitivity	Specificity	Positive	Negative	AUC	95% CI
			Predictive Value	Predictive Value		
>50%	100%	92.9%	66.7%	100%	0.96	0.92 - 1
>80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	1	1 · 1

Table 2. Results of Validating Reduction in MEP Amplitude for BTO-positive

AUC = area under the curve; BTO = balloon test occlusion; CI = confidence interval; MEP = motor evoked

potential

	Sensitivity	Specificity	Positive	Negative	P Value
			Predictive Value	Predictive Value	
MEP	100%	100%	100%	100%	
(>80% reduction in amplitude)					
EEG	100%	72%	30%	100%	0.0233

Table 3. Diagnostic Accuracy Parameters of MEP and EEG in 28 patients

EEG = electroencephalogram; MEP = motor evoked potential

	Sensitivity	Specificity	Positive	Negative	P Value
			Predictive Value	Predictive Value	
MEP	100%	100%	100%	100%	
(>80% reduction in amplitude)					
SEP	33.3%	100%	100%	90%	0.48

Table 4. Diagnostic Accuracy Parameters of MEP and SEP in 21 patients

MEP = motor evoked potential; SEP = somatosensory evoked potential